1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COOLEY LLP JOHN C. DWYER (136533) (dwyerjc@cooley.com) 3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Telephone: (650) 843-5000 Facsimile: (650) 849-7400 AARTI REDDY (274889) (areddy@cooley.com) REECE TREVOR (316685) (rtrevor@cooley.com) 101 California Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-5800 Telephone: (415) 693-2000 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222 Attorneys for Defendants	KRONENBERGER ROSENFELD, LLP Karl S. Kronenberger (226112) (karl@KRInternetLaw.com) Jeffrey M. Rosenfeld (222187) (jeff@KRInternetLaw.com) 150 Post Street, Suite 520 San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 955-1155 Facsimile: (415) 955-1158 Attorneys for Defendants OMAR QAZI and SMICK ENTERPRISES, INC.
10	TESLA, INC. and ELON MUSK	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
15		
	AARON GREENSPAN,	Case No. 3:20-cv-03426-JD
16	Plaintiff,	JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR AN ORDER CHANGING TIME AND EXTENDING
17	v.	PAGE LIMITS FOR BRIEFING ON
18	OMAR QAZI, SMICK ENTERPRISES, INC.,	DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT
19	ELON MUSK, and TESLA, INC.,	(CIV. L. R. 6-3, 7-11)
20	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. James Donato
21		Trial Date: None Set Date Action Filed: May 20, 2020
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
,		

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3 and 7-11, Defendants Elon Musk and Tesla, Inc. (together "Tesla Defendants") and Omar Qazi and Smick Enterprises, Inc. (together "Qazi Defendants"), by and through their respective attorneys, respectfully move for an extended briefing calendar and modest extension of page limitations for briefing on Defendants' forthcoming motions to dismiss Plaintiff's Third Amended and Supplemental Complaint ("TAC"). For the Court's convenience, in the interest of collaboration among the parties on administrative matters, and to avoid piecemeal filings governing the parties' briefing schedules, Defendants file this motion jointly. This motion is supported by the accompanying declaration of Aarti Reddy, filed concurrently herewith.

Plaintiff's TAC, like his previous three complaints, asserts a raft of diverse state and federal claims against Defendants. *See generally* ECF No. 103. In the TAC's 78 pages, it alleges that various of the Defendants violated federal copyright law and securities law, and that they are liable to Plaintiff for libel and civil stalking under California law. As just two illustrations of the TAC's breadth, it alleges that the Qazi Defendants made 38 separate libelous statements and that the Tesla Defendants violated the securities laws by making dozens of false and misleading statements that fall into 35 separate categories. Plaintiff also appended 350 pages of exhibits to the TAC, further increasing its factual complexity. *See* ECF Nos. 103-1 to 103-13. Both the Tesla Defendants and the Qazi Defendants intend to file motions to dismiss the TAC.

Request for page extension (Civ. L.R. 7-11). In order to best assist the Court by providing a complete set of arguments from the parties on the range of legal and factual issues presented by the TAC, Defendants seek a modest extension of the page limits set for motions to dismiss under Paragraph 18 of this Court's Standing Order for Civil Cases. Defendants request the same number of pages the Court directed for their respective motions to dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint and reply briefs in support of those motions. See ECF No. 71. Specifically, Defendants propose that the Tesla Defendants and Qazi Defendants each be permitted to file a motion to dismiss of up to 18 pages, that Plaintiff be permitted to file a consolidated opposition brief of up to 36

¹ That order extended the limit for Plaintiff's opposition brief to 35 pages. In the interest of parity and at Plaintiff's request, Defendants here propose an opposition brief with page limits equal to the sum of the 18-page limits on both sets of Defendants' motions to dismiss.

1 pages, and that the Tesla Defendants and Qazi Defendants each be permitted to file a reply of up to 2 12 pages. 3 Request for an order changing time (Civ. L.R. 6-3). Consistent with this Court's practice 4 for briefing on Plaintiffs' two prior amended complaints, Defendants respectfully submit that it 5 would also be most efficient for the Court and all parties to submit briefing on these motions to 6 dismiss the TAC on a consolidated schedule. Given the TAC's length and complexity, Defendants 7 request that the Court extend the briefing schedule such that their respective motions to dismiss the 8 TAC shall be due on March 12, 2021; Plaintiff's consolidated opposition to any such motions shall 9 be due 28 days thereafter; and the Tesla Defendants' and Qazi Defendants' replies shall be due 14 10 days thereafter. 11 Defendants would have preferred to present this Court with a stipulation to these increased 12 page limits. But despite the volume of his pleadings and the fact that Defendants' proposal broadly 13 comports with the parameters this Court set sua sponte for briefing on Defendants' motions to 14 dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, see ECF No. 71, Plaintiff has refused to give his 15 consent to these changes. See Declaration of Aarti G. Reddy ¶ 2-3. 16 Accordingly, Defendants respectfully request that this Court enter an order extending the 17 page limits and deadlines for briefing on any motions to dismiss the TAC as described above. 18 Respectfully submitted, 19 20 Dated: February 19, 2021 COOLEY LLP 21 22 By: /s/ Aarti Reddy Aarti Reddy (274889) 23 Attorneys for Defendants 24 TESLA, INC. and ELON MUSK 25 26 27 28

Case 3:20-cv-03426-JD Document 104 Filed 02/19/21 Page 4 of 4

1	Dated: February 19, 2021	KRONENBERGER ROSENFELD, LLP
2		
3		By: /s/ Karl S. Kronenberger Karl S. Kronenberger (226112)
4		
5		Attorneys for Defendants OMAR QAZI and SMICK ENTERPRISES, INC.
6		
7	ATTESTATION OF SIGNATURES	
8	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this	
9	document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.	
10		
11	Dated: February 19, 2021	/s/ Aarti Reddy Aarti Reddy
12		·
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	244735487	
28	244735487	
v		JOINT ADMIN MOTION RE

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO